

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.**

09/893,827

MS150905.01/MSFTP166US

CLAIMS

This listing of the claims replaces all prior versions of claims in the application.

1. (Currently Amended) An information retrieval system, comprising:
a hierarchal analysis component that receives a query and processes probabilities associated with N categories, each category having one or more topics, N being an integer; ~~and~~

an interactive component that provides feedback derived from the query and the probabilities associated with the N categories and the one or more topics, the feedback being utilized to determine at least one category of the N categories to facilitate retrieval of at least one of the one or more topics; and

an automatic classifier construction component that builds a top-level classifier for the N categories and a sublevel classifier for each category of the one or more topics associated with the N categories.

2. (Cancelled).

3. (Original) The system of claim 2, wherein the classifiers are provided by at least one of a Support Vector Machine, Naive Bayes, Bayes Net, decision tree, similarity-based, vector-based and a Bayesian-based classification model.

4. (Original) The system of claim 3, wherein the automatic classifier construction component employs a learning model to build the classifiers.

5. (Original) The system of claim 4, wherein the learning model is associated with a Support Vector Machine and employs Sequential Minimal Optimization (SMO) to train the classifiers.

09/893.827MS150905.01/MSFTP166US

6. (Original) The system of claim 4, further comprising a data structure that includes a mapping of I possible queries and one or more associated topics, I being an integer, to enable learning for the classifiers.

7. (Original) The system of claim 6, wherein the data structure is updated *via* at least one of implicit and explicit user actions associated with a query to facilitate improved learning models.

8. (Original) The system of claim 6, wherein the data structure is centrally located to enable monitoring of implicit and explicit user actions associated with queries from a plurality of users to facilitate improved learning models.

9. (Currently Amended) The system of claim [[2]] 1, wherein the first classifier is employed to drive the sublevel classifiers at run time to form a hierarchical classification structure.

10. (Original) The system of claim 9, wherein the query and the first classifier are employed to determine the most likely of the N categories.

11. (Original) The system of claim 10, further comprising a context disambiguation component that utilizes the query and the first classifier to determine the feedback.

12. (Original) The system of claim 11, wherein the context disambiguation component utilizes the query and the feedback to drive the sublevel classifiers in order to determine a desired topic.

13. (Original) The system of claim 11, wherein the context disambiguation component further comprises a presentation component for interfacing to a user and an analytical component to facilitate feedback and decision-making related to the feedback.

09/893,827MS150905.01/MSFTP166US

14. (Original) The system of claim 13, wherein the analytical component includes a cost-benefit analysis considering the cost of the dialog with the information value of the dialog.

15. (Original) The system of claim 13, wherein the analytical component includes a decision analysis for determining the nature and quantity of a clarification dialog.

16. (Original) The system of claim 13, wherein the analytical component includes a computation of the value of information associated with feedback gained during a clarification dialog to guide the nature and quantity of the clarification dialog.

17. (Original) The system of claim 13, wherein the analytical component employs at least one of a rule-based policy and an expected utility policy that controls if and how dialog is invoked based on the distribution of probabilities assigned to topics at one or more layers of a classification scheme.

18. (Original) The system of claim 17, wherein the analytical component analyzes probabilistic weights associated with each category and related subtopic for determining feedback and presentation to the user.

19. (Original) The system of claim 17, wherein the analytical component analyzes probabilistic weights as a spread across each category and related subtopic for determining feedback and presentation to the user.

20. (Original) The system of claim 13, wherein the presentation component includes a ranked display of most likely N categories.

21. (Original) The system of claim 20, wherein at least one of the most likely N categories is selected to provide a ranked display of one or more topics.

09/893,827

MS150905.01/MSFTP166US

22. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein information is retrieved as part of a help system.

23. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein information is retrieved from a network-based system.

24. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the probabilities are determined *via* a hand-crafted analysis.

25. (Original) The system of claim 1, further comprising L levels of N categories, each category having one or more topics, wherein L and N are integers.

26. (Original) A computer-readable medium storing the computer-executable components of claim 1.

27. (Currently Amended) A method providing information retrieval from a database, comprising:

determining probabilities associated with one or more categories associated with one or more associated topics;

providing feedback that is derived from a query and the probabilities associated with the one or more categories and the one or more associated topics; and

resolving at least one category of the one or more categories based upon the feedback to facilitate retrieval of at least one of the one or more associated topics; and building a top-level classifier for the one or more categories and a sublevel classifier for each category of the one or more topics associated with the one or more categories.

28. (Cancelled).

09/893,827MS150905.01/MSFTP166US

29. (Currently Amended) The method of claim ~~[[28]]~~ 27, wherein the classifiers are at least one of a vector-based and a Bayesian-based model.

30. (Original) The method of claim 29, further comprising, mapping I possible queries and associated topics within a data structure, I being an integer, to enable learning of the classifiers.

31. (Original) The method of claim 30, further comprising, monitoring implicit and explicit user actions associated with a query to facilitate improved learning models.

32. (Original) The method of claim 30, further comprising, monitoring a central data location for implicit and explicit user actions associated with queries from a plurality of users to facilitate improved learning models.

33. (Original) The method of claim 28, wherein the top-level classifier is employed to drive the sublevel classifiers at run time to form a hierarchical classification structure.

34. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 33, wherein the query and the top-level classifier are employed to determine the most likely of the ~~[[N]]~~ one or more categories.

35. (Original) The method of claim 34, further comprising, utilizing the query and the top-level classifier to determine the feedback.

36. (Original) The method of claim 35, further comprising, utilizing the query and the feedback to drive the sublevel classifiers in order to determine a desired topic.

09/893,827

MS150905.01/MSFTP166US

37. (Original) The method of claim 27, further comprising, utilizing at least one of a cost benefit analysis and a decision analysis for determining the feedback.

38. (Original) The method of claim 35, further comprising, utilizing rule-based policies and expected-utility policies for establishing probabilistic thresholds associated with the feedback.

39. (Currently Amended) A system providing information retrieval, comprising:
means for determining probabilities associated with N categories, each category having one or more topics, N being an integer;
means for providing feedback that is derived from a query and the probabilities associated with the N categories and the one or more topics; and
means for determining at least one category of the N categories based upon the feedback to facilitate retrieval of at least one of the one or more topics; and
means for building a top-level classifier for the N categories and a sublevel classifier for each category of the one or more topics associated with the N categories.

40. (Cancelled).

41. (Currently Amended) A signal adapted to be transmitted between at least two processes within a computing environment, comprising:
an analysis component that receives a query *via* the signal and processes probabilities associated with N categories, each category having one or more topics, N being an integer; and
an interactive component that provides feedback *via* the signal derived from the query and the probabilities associated with the N categories and the one or more topics, the feedback being utilized to determine at least one category of the N categories to facilitate retrieval of at least one of the one or more topics; and

09/893,827

MS150905.01/MSFTP166US

an automatic classifier construction component that builds a top-level classifier for the N categories and a sublevel classifier for each category of the one or more topics associated with the N categories.